

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
. 10/636,065	08/07/2003	Robert G. Korneluk	07891/025005	1523		
21559	7590 04/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CLARK & ELBING LLP 101 FEDERAL STREET			ZARA, JANE J			
BOSTON, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			1635	-		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2000	DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)		- <u></u>			
		10/636,0	65	KORNELUK ET AL.				
		Examine	7	Art Unit				
		Jane Zara		1635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIGNS OF THE MAILING INSIGNS OF THE MAILING IN THE MORE IN	G DATE OF TI FR 1.136(a). In no ev n. eriod will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim till expire SIX (6) MONTHS from discation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·							
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on §	3-7-03			``			
2a)[This action is r	on-final		\sim			
	·—			secution as to the	e merits is			
٠/ك	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
_		tion						
-	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ 6)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
								
· —	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
ت (۵	olaim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and		quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:			-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•		ed in this National	Stage			
* c	application from the International Bu	•	• • • •	a·				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	I IISI OI THE CERT	neu copies not receive	u.				
		•						
Attachmen	• •							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-18 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Applicants are required to elect <u>a single oligonucleotide SEQ ID No.</u> from claims 1 and 10.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The different inventions drawn to each oligonucleotide SEQ ID NO. are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the oligonucleotides and methods comprising them are biologically, structurally and functionally different and distinct from each other. The methods involving the use of a distinct oligonucleotide utilize a different and distinct composition, and so utilizes distinct methods steps from each other. For these reasons, the inventions of these different Groups are patentably distinct.

Furthermore, searching the inventions of Groups comprising all of these different oligonucleotide molecules, and the methods comprising them together would impose a serious search burden. In the instant case, the search of the distinct methods and

Art Unit: 1635

compositions are not coextensive. There is a search burden also in the non-patent literature. Prior to the concomitant construction and utilization of the different nucleic acid constructs of interest there may be journal articles devoted solely to one Group that would not have described the compositions and methods of the other Group.

Searching, therefore is not coextensive. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of the different Groups together.

Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the methods comprising administration of different nucleic acid oligonucleotides are unrelated as they comprise distinct steps and utilize different nucleic acid constructs which demonstrates that each method has a different mode of operation. The methodology and materials necessary for each of these distinct methods differ significantly, and each Group constitutes a biologically, chemically and functionally distinct and different composition and method and therefore each involves a patentably distinct invention. Therefore, each method is divergent in materials and steps. For these reasons the inventions of these different Groups are patentably distinct.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 C.F.R. 1.141, the different oligonucleotides and their corresponding SEQ ID Nos. listed in claims 1 and 10, and encompassed by claims 1-18 are subject to restriction. In the instant case, one independent and distinct oligonucleotide sequence will be examined in a single application without restriction.

Art Unit: 1635

Those sequences which are patentably indistinct from the sequence or region selected by the applicant will also be examined.

Claims 1-18 specifically embrace different oligonucleotides with different SEQ ID Nos. Each of these oligonucleotides is considered to be structurally independent, because each is represented by a unique nucleotide sequence. Furthermore, a search of all the sequences claimed presents an undue burden on the Patent and Trademark Office to search and examine. In view of the foregoing, applicants are required to elect up to 1 (one) oligonucleotide (SEQ ID No.) and corresponding target sequence.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 571-273-8300. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jane Zara** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-0765**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811. Any inquiry regarding this application should be directed to the patent analyst, Katrina Turner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0564. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the

Application/Control Number: 10/636,065 Page 6

Art Unit: 1635

status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jane Zara 4-17-06

J 70 TC 1600

JANE ZAFIA, PRINER ORIMARY EXAMINER